



**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Mayor Sylvia J. Murphy, District 5  
Mayor Pro Tem Danny Kolhage, District 1  
Heather Carruthers, District 3  
George Neugent, District 2  
David Rice, District 4

**Mayor Sylvia J. Murphy**  
Nelson Govt. & Cultural Center, Ste 234  
102050 Overseas Highway  
Key Largo, FL 33037  
Phone 305-453-8787  
Cell 305-797-0088  
Email: [boccdis5@monroecounty-fl.gov](mailto:boccdis5@monroecounty-fl.gov)



April 23, 2014

D. Ray Eubanks, Plan Processing Administrator  
Florida Department of Economic Opportunity  
Division of Community Planning  
The Caldwell Building  
107 E. Madison Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-4120

RE: Monroe County Evaluation and Appraisal Notification

Dear Mr. Eubanks:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 163.3191, F.S. (as amended in 2011), the purpose of this letter is to notify the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity, Division of Community Planning, that Monroe County has evaluated its Comprehensive Plan to determine if amendments are necessary to reflect changes in State Statutes.

The County's previous Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), was adopted by the Monroe County Board of County Commissioners on August 18, 2004 and the County adopted Comprehensive Plan amendments in accordance with the 2004 EAR.

A thorough review of current Florida Statutes (2004-2013) has been conducted and the attached table identifies the fourteen (14) comprehensive plan amendments that the County will need to address to comply with statutory changes since 2004 (necessary amendments are identified in the fifth column and in red text).

Monroe County is presently reviewing and processing amendments to update the Monroe County 2010 Comprehensive Plan to the 2030 Comprehensive Plan, based on the statutory analysis (attached table). Monroe County is also processing amendments to address the County's adopted Evaluation and Appraisal Report (Resolution 150-2012) which was completed based on the previous requirements in Section 163.3191, F.S. These amendments will address identified statutory requirements; address the County's objectives, changing conditions and trends; major issues for the community; updated projected population; etc.

The County anticipates transmitting the required amendments, pursuant to Section 163.3184 (4), F.S., in July 2014. The County will also be transmitting the EAR-based amendments that address changes in local conditions and proposed policy amendments related to the same. The County will submit its Technical Document (data and analysis), 2012 adopted Evaluation and Appraisal Report, and the statutory analysis table with the transmitted amendments.

Please direct any questions to Christine Hurley, Director of Growth Management at (305) 289-2500 or via email at [hurley-christine@monroecounty-fl.gov](mailto:hurley-christine@monroecounty-fl.gov).

Sincerely,



Sylvia J. Murphy  
Mayor of Monroe County

Enclosures: Monroe County, Florida - Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes: 2004-2013.

cc: Board of County Commissioners (w/o enclosures)  
Bob Shillinger, County Attorney (w/o enclosures)  
Roman Gastesi, County Administrator (w/o enclosures)  
Townshley Schwab, Director of Planning and Environmental Resources (w/o enclosures)

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>2004: [Ch. 04-5, s. 11; Ch. 04-37, s. 1; Ch. 04-230, ss. 1-4; Ch. 04-372, ss. 2-5; Ch. 04-381, ss. 1-2; Ch. 04-384, s. 2, Laws of Florida.]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3167, F.S.</b>	1	(13): Created to require local governments to identify adequate <b>water supply sources</b> to meet future demand for the established planning period. [Chpt 2011-139 renumbered to (9)]		Potable Water 701.1.7-701.1.8	
		(14): Created to limit the effect of <b>judicial determinations</b> issued subsequent to certain development orders pursuant to adopted land development regulations.	N/A		
<b>Creates Section 163.3175, F.S.</b>	2	(1): Provides <b>legislative findings</b> on the compatibility of development with <b>military installations</b> .		Procedural <sup>3</sup> and not a compliance issue for the County	
		(2): Provides for the <b>exchange of information</b> relating to <b>proposed land use decisions</b> between counties and local governments and military installations. [Chpt 2011-139 renumbered to (4)]		Goal 108	
		(3): Provides for <b>responsive comments</b> by the commanding officer or his/her designee. [Chpt 2011-139 renumbered to (5)]		Goal 108	
		(4): Provides for the county or affected local government to <b>take such comments into consideration</b> . [Chpt 2011-139 revised (5) and to be non-binding on community ]		Goal 108	
		(5): Requires the representative of the military installation to be an <b>ex-officio, nonvoting member</b> of the county’s or local government’s land planning or zoning board. [Chpt 2011-139 renumbered to (7)]		Goal 108	
		(6): Encourages the commanding officer to provide <b>information on community planning assistance grants</b> . [Chpt 2011-139 renumbered to (8)]		Procedural	

<sup>1</sup> By addressed it should be noted that this means addressed in the Comprehensive Plan already before the 2014 Evaluation and Appraisal of CP and notification of DEO, 2010 EAR and Comp Plan update has occurred.  
<sup>2</sup> It should be noted that while a complete Comprehensive Plan amendment may not be warranted by a specific statutory change, the 2010 Comp Plan may still need to reflect certain changes in the Florida Statutes, and as such, the 2010 Comp Plan will ultimately be updated to reflect the changes described herein.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
Section 163.3177, F.S.	3	(6)(a): Changed to encourage <b>rural land stewardship area</b> designation as an overlay on the future land use map.	N/A		
		(6)(c): Extended the deadline adoption of the <b>water supply facilities work plan</b> amendment until December 1, 2006; provided for updating the work plan every five years; and exempts such amendment from the limitation on frequency of adoption of amendments.		Potable Water 701.1.7-701.1.8	
		(11)(d)1.: Requires DCA, in cooperation with other specified state agencies, to provide assistance to local governments in implementing provisions relating to <b>rural land stewardship areas</b> .		Procedural	
		(11)(d)2.: Provides for <b>multi-county rural land stewardship areas</b> .	N/A		
		(11)(d)3.-4: Revises requirements, including the acreage threshold for designating a <b>rural land stewardship area</b> .	N/A		
		(11)(d)6.j.: Provides that <b>transferable rural land use credits</b> may be assigned at different ratios according to the natural resource or other beneficial use characteristics of the land.	N/A		
Creates Section 163.31771, F.S.	4	(1): Provides legislative findings with respect to the shortage of <b>affordable rentals</b> in the state.		Procedural	
		(2): Provides <b>definitions</b> .		Procedural	Add new Glossary Section to 2010 Comp Plan
		(3): Authorizes local governments to permit <b>accessory dwelling units</b> in areas zoned for single family residential use based upon certain findings.		Procedural	
		(4) An application for a building permit to construct an accessory dwelling unit must include an <b>affidavit from the applicant</b> , which attests that the unit will be rented at an affordable rate to a very-low-income, low-income, or moderate-income person or persons.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.31771, F.S. (continued)</b>		(5): Provides for certain <b>accessory dwelling units</b> to apply towards satisfying the affordable housing component of the housing element in a local government’s comprehensive plan.	N/A		
		(6): Requires the <b>DCA to report</b> to the Legislature.		Procedural and not a Monroe County compliance issue	
<b>Section 163.3187, F.S.</b>	5	((1)(n): Created to provide that amendments to establish or implement a <b>rural land stewardship area</b> do not count toward the limitation on frequency of amending comprehensive plans.	N/A		
<b>2005 [Ch. 2005-157, ss 1, 2 and 15; Ch. 2005-290; and Ch. 2005-291, ss. 10-12, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3177, F.S.</b>	1	(3)(a)5.: Required the comprehensive plan to include a <b>5-year schedule of capital improvements</b> .		CIE 1401.1.2	
		(6)(a): Requires the future land use element to be based upon the <b>availability of water supplies</b> (in addition to public water facilities).		FLUE Policies 101.1.2 & 101.12.1,	
		(6)(a): Add requirement that future land use element of <b>coastal counties</b> must encourage the preservation of working waterfronts, as defined in s.342.07, F.S.			FLUE; Add policies related to preservation of working waterfronts.
		(6)(c): Required the <b>potable water element</b> to be updated within 18 months of an updated regional water supply plan to incorporate the <b>alternative water supply projects</b> and traditional water supply projects and conservation and reuse selected by the local government to meet its projected water supply needs. The ten-year water supply work plan must include public, private and regional water supply facilities, including development of alternative water supplies. Such amendments do not count toward the limitation on the frequency of adoption of amendments.		Potable Water Goal 701, Obj. 701.1, Policy 701.1.3,	
		(6)(e): Added <b>waterways</b> to the system of sites addressed by the <b>recreation and open space element</b> .		Rec and Open Space: Policy 1201.3.6	Revise to add waterways
		(6)(h)1.: The intergovernmental coordination element must address coordination with <b>regional water supply authorities</b> .		ICE; 1301.1.14	
<b>Section 163.31776, F.S. [Now: Repealed]</b>	2	163.31776 is <b>repealed</b>	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.31777, F.S.</b>	3	(2): Required the <b>public schools interlocal agreement</b> (if applicable) to address requirements for <b>school concurrency</b> . The <b>opt-out provision</b> at the end of Subsection (2) is deleted.	N/A		
		(5): Required <b>Palm Beach County</b> to identify, as part of its EAR, changes needed in its public school element necessary to conform to the new 2005 public school facilities element requirements.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3178, F.S.</b>	4	(2)(g): Expands requirement of coastal element to include strategies that will be used to preserve recreational and commercial working waterfronts, as defined in Section 342.07, F.S.		Monroe County Working Waterfronts Master Plan <i>(not adopted)</i>	<b>Amend Conservation and Coastal Management Element (CCME) to include criteria to encourage preservation of recreational and commercial working waterfronts for water dependent uses in coastal communities.</b>
<b>Section 163.3180, F.S.</b>	5	(2)(a): Required consultation with water supplier prior to issuing building permit to ensure <b>“adequate water supplies”</b> to serve new development will be available by the date of issuance of a certificate of occupancy.		FLUE 101.1.1 & 101.1.2, 101.4.3, 215.1.1-215.1.2, 701.1.3, 701.1.4, 701.1.6, 701.3.3, 701.10.3, and 1401.4.5	
<b>Section 163.3187, F.S.</b> [New]  [New]	6	(1)(c)4.: ( <b>New 2005 provision</b> ) If the small-scale development amendment involves a <b>rural area of critical economic concern</b> , a 20-acre limit applies.	N/A		
		(1)(o): ( <b>New 2005 provision</b> ) An amendment to a <b>rural area of critical economic concern</b> may be approved without regard to the statutory limit on comprehensive plan amendments.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3246, F.S.</b> [New]	7	(10) New section designating Freeport as a <b>certified community</b> .	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
		(11) New section exempting proposed DRIs within Freeport from review under s.380.06, F.S., unless review is requested by the local government.	N/A		
<b>2006 [Ch. 2006-68, Ch. 2006-69, Ch. 2006-220, Ch. 2006-252, Ch. 2006-255, Ch. 2006-268, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3162(5), F.S. [New]</b>	1	Establishes plan amendment procedures for <b>agricultural enclaves</b> as defined in s.163.3164(33), F.S. Ch. 2006-255, LOF.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3164(33), F.S. [New]</b>	2	Defines <b>agricultural enclave</b> . Ch. 2006-255, LOF.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.31771(1), (2) and (4), F.S.</b>	3	Recognizes “extremely-low-income persons” as another income groups whose housing needs might be addressed by <b>accessory dwelling units</b> and defines such persons consistent with s.420.0004(8), F.S. Ch. 2006-69, LOF.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3178(2)(d), F.S.</b>	4	Assigns to the Division of Emergency Management the responsibility of ensuring the preparation of <b>updated regional hurricane evacuation plans</b> . Ch. 2006-68, LOF.		Procedural and not a Monroe County compliance issue	
<b>Section 163.3178(2)(h), F.S.</b>	5	Changes the definition of the <b>Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA)</b> to be the area below the elevation of the category 1 storm surge line as established by the SLOSH model. Ch. 2006-68, LOF.		Conservation and Coastal Management Policy 217.1.1	Revise definition to include “area below category 1 storm” from “associated with” category 1 storm.
<b>Section 163.3178(9)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	6	Adds a new section allowing a local government to comply with the requirement that its comprehensive <b>plan direct population concentrations away from the CHHA</b> and maintains or reduces hurricane evacuation times by maintaining an adopted LOS Standard for out-of-county hurricane evacuation for a category 5 storm, by maintaining a 12-hour hurricane evacuation time or by providing mitigation that satisfies these two requirements. Ch. 2006-68, LOF.	N/A	County is subject to the 24 hour evacuation requirements established in Section 380.0552(9)(a)(1)	
<b>Section 163.3178(9)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	7	Adds a new section establishing a <b>level of service for out-of-county hurricane evacuation</b> of no greater than 16 hours for a category 5 storm for any local government that wishes to follow the process in s.163.3178(9)(a) but has not established such a level of service by July 1, 2008. Ch. 2006-68, LOF.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
Section 163.3178(2)(c), F.S.	8	Requires local governments to amend their <b>Future Land Use Map</b> and <b>coastal management element</b> to include the new definition of the <b>CHHA</b> , and to depict the CHHA on the FLUM by July 1, 2008. Ch. 2006-68, LOF.		Conservation and Coastal Management and Policy 217.1.1	Amend FLUM to depict CHHA. Review, and potentially update, Draft Map Series 3-7 as produced in EAR.
Section 163.3180(2)(a), F.S.	9	Allows the <b>sanitary sewer concurrency requirement</b> to be met by onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems approved by the Department of Health. Ch. 2006-252, LOF.		Objective 901.1	
Section 163.3208, F.S. [New]	10	Creates a new section related to <b>electric distribution substations</b> ; establishes criteria addressing land use compatibility of substations; requires local governments to permit substations in all FLUM categories (except preservation, conservation or historic preservation); establishes compatibility standards to be used if a local government has not established such standards; establishes procedures for the review of applications for the location of a new substation; allows local governments to enact reasonable setback and landscape buffer standards for substations. Ch. 2006-268, LOF.		FLUE: 101.4.1-101.4.12 101.4.14-101.4.17 Recreation and Open Space: 1201.4.3.	This might need to be updated to more clearly reflect the statute language specific to terminology. Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan Update
Section 163.3209, F.S. [New]	11	Creates a new section preventing a local government from requiring for a permit or other approval vegetation maintenance and tree pruning or trimming within an established <b>electric transmission and distribution line right-of-way</b> . Ch. 2006-268, LOF.		Procedural-LDC	.
New	12	<b>Community Workforce Housing Innovation Pilot Program</b> ; created by Ch. 2006-69, LOF, section 27. Establishes a special, expedited adoption process for any plan amendment that implements a pilot program project.		Procedural and not a Monroe County compliance issue.	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
New	13	<b>Affordable housing land donation density incentive bonus</b> ; created by Ch. 2006-69, LOF, section 28. Allows a density bonus for land donated to a local government to provide affordable housing; requires adoption of a plan amendment for any such land; such amendment may be adopted as a small-scale amendment; such amendment is exempt from the twice per year limitation on the frequency of plan amendment adoptions.		FLUE Policy 101.5.4, Housing Policy 601.1.2, 601.1.6, 601.1.7, 601.1.12-601.1.14,	
<b>2007 [Ch. 2007-196, Ch. 2007-198, Ch. 2007-204, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3164, F.S.</b>	1	(26) Expands the definition of “ <b>urban redevelopment</b> ” to include a community redevelopment area. Ch. 2007-204, LOF.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3177, F.S.</b>	2	(3)(a)6. Revises the citation to the <b>MPO’s TIP and long-range transportation plan</b> . Ch. 2007-196, LOF.	N/A		
		(6)(f)1.d. Revises the housing element requirements to ensure adequate sites for affordable <b>workforce housing</b> within certain counties. Ch. 2007-198, LOF.		FLUE 101.4.5 and 101.4.10	
<b>Section 163.3182, F.S.</b> [New]	3	Allows a local government to establish a <b>transportation concurrency backlog authority</b> to address deficiencies where existing traffic volume exceeds the adopted level of service standard. Defines the powers of the authority to include tax increment financing and requires the preparation of transportation concurrency backlog plans. Ch. 2007-196, LOF and Ch. 2007-204, LOF.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.32465, F.S.</b> [New]	4	Establishes an <b>alternative state review process pilot program</b> in Jacksonville/Duval, Miami, Tampa, Hialeah, Pinellas and Broward to encourage urban infill and redevelopment. Ch. 2007-204, LOF.	N/A		
<b>Section 339.282, F.S.</b> [New]	5	If a property owner contributes right-of-way and expands a state transportation facility, such contribution may be applied as a credit against any future transportation concurrency requirement. Ch. 2007-196, LOF.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>2008 [Ch. 2008-191 and Ch. 2008-227, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a), F.S.</b>	1	The future land use plan must discourage urban sprawl. Ch. 2008-191, LOF.		FLUE Objectives 101.4, 105.1, 105.2 FLUE Policies 101.5.4, 102.4.6 Potable Water Objective 701.10 and Policy 701.10.4 Objective 901.3	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(d), F.S.</b>	2	The conservation element must include factors that affect energy conservation. Ch. 2008-191, LOF.			Creating Energy and Climate Element
<b>2009 [Chapters 2009-85 and 2009-96, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3164(29), F.S.</b>	1	Changes “Existing Urban service area” to “ <b>Urban service area</b> ” and revises the definition of such an area. Section 2, Chapter 2009-96, LOF.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a), F.S.</b>	2	Requires the future land use element to include by June 30, 2012, criteria that will be used to achieve compatibility of lands near public use airports. For military installations, the date is changed from June 30, 2006, to June 30, 2012. Section 3, Chapter 2009-85, LOF.		Goal 108	.
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(h)1.c., F.S.</b>	3	Requires the intergovernmental coordination element to include a mandatory (rather than voluntary) <b>dispute resolution process</b> and requires use of the process prescribed in section 186.509, F.S., for this purpose. Section 3, Chapter 2009-96, LOF.		ICE Policy 1301.3.1 Conservation and Coastal Management Policy 216.1.2	Intergovernmental Coordination Element may need an update to comply with this Section.
<b>2010 [Chapters 2010-5, 2010-33, 2010-70, 2010-102, 2010-182, 2010-205 and 2010-209, Laws of Florida]</b>					
	1	Chapter 2010-102, Laws of Florida, makes several minor changes which do not effect substantive comprehensive planning requirements:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Section 163.2526, F.S.: repealed</li> <li>2. Section 163.3167(2), F.S.: obsolete language deleted</li> <li>3. Section 163.3177(6)(h), F.S.: minor wording changes</li> <li>4. Section 163.3177(10)(k), F.S.: minor wording changes</li> <li>5. Section 163.3178(6), F.S.: obsolete language deleted</li> <li>6. Section 163.2511(1), F.S.: minor wording changes</li> <li>7. Section 163.2514, F.S.: minor wording changes</li> <li>8. Section 163.3202, F.S.: minor wording changes</li> </ol>		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
	2	Chapter 2010-205, Laws of Florida, makes several minor wording changes Chapter 163, Part II, F.S., which do not affect substantive comprehensive planning requirements: 1. Section 163.3167(13), F.S. 2. Section 163.3177(4)(a), F.S. 3. Section 163.3177(6)(c), (d) and (h), F.S. 4. Section 163.3191(2)(l), F.S.		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
	3	Chapter 2010-209, Laws of Florida, makes a minor wording change in Section 163.2523, F.S., which does not affect substantive comprehensive planning requirements.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3175(2), F.S.</b>	4	Revises section 163.3175, F.S., to list the 14 military installations and 43 local governments affected by special coordination and communication requirements. Section 1, Chapter 2010-182, LOF.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a), F.S.</b>	5	Revises section 163.377(6)(a), F.S., to specify that the 43 local governments listed in section 163.3175(2), F.S., must consider the factors listed in section 163.3175(5), F.S., when considering the compatibility of land uses proximate to military installations. Section 2, Chapter 2010-182, LOF.		Goal 108	
<b>Section 163.3180(4)(b), F.S.</b>	6	Revised section 163.3180(4)(b), F.S., to define hangars for the assembly, manufacture, maintenance or storage of aircraft as public transit facilities. Section 1, Chapter 2010-33, LOF.		Procedural	
<b>2011 [Chapter 2011-139, Laws of Florida] [Chapter 2011-14, Laws of Florida – which re-enacts several provisions of Ch. 163]</b>					
	1	Section 72 of chapter 2011-139 repealed Rule 9J-5 in its entirety. Many provisions of Rule 9J-5 have been incorporated into Chapter 163 and are identified below.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3161(1), F.S.</b>	2	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act.”		Procedural and not a compliance issue	Rename where appropriate throughout new Comp Plan.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.3161(2), F.S.</b>	3	Expresses the purpose of the act, changing “control” future development to “manage” future development “consistent with the proper role of local government.”			Language does not appear to be cited in existing Comp Plan; therefore no change likely.
<b>Section 163.3161(3), F.S. [New]</b>	4	States the intent of the act is to focus the state role in managing growth to protect the functions of important state resources and facilities.			Language does not appear to be cited in existing Comp Plan; therefore no change likely.
<b>Section 163.3161(10), F.S.</b>	5	Modifies the intent of the legislature with respect to how comprehensive plans and amendments affect property rights.			Language does not appear to be cited in existing Comp Plan; therefore no change likely.
<b>Section 163.3161(11), F.S. [New]</b>	6	States the intent is to recognize and protect agriculture, tourism and military presence as being the state’s traditional economic base.			Language does not appear to be cited in existing Comp Plan; therefore no change likely.
<b>Section 163.3161(12), F.S. [New]</b>	7	States the intent is to not require local government plans that have been found to be in compliance to adopt amendments implementing the new statutory requirements until the evaluation and appraisal period provided in s. 163.3191.			Broadly addressed in Comp Plan, no change necessary.
<b>Section 163.3162(4), F.S.</b>	8	Modifies the provisions for agricultural lands and practices to state that a plan amendment for an agricultural enclave is presumed not to be urban sprawl as defined in 163.3164.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3164, F.S.</b>	9	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act” and sets forth new and modified definitions, many of which were included in repealed Rule 9J-5.003, F.A.C.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(1), F.S. [New]</b>	10	Establishes definition for “adaptation action area.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(3), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	11	Establishes definition for “affordable housing” [same meaning as in s. 420.0004(3)].		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Chapter 163, F.S. Citations</b>	<b>Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.</b>		<b>N/A*</b>	<b>Addressed<sup>1</sup> (where/how)</b>	<b>Amendment Needed<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>Section 163.3164(5), F.S. [New]</b>	12	Establishes definition for “antiquated subdivision.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(7), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	13	Establishes definition for “capital improvement.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(9), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	14	Establishes definition for “compatibility.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(11), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	15	Establishes definition for “deepwater ports.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(12), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	16	Establishes definition for “density.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(18), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	17	Establishes definition for “floodprone areas.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(19), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	18	Establishes definition for “goal.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(22), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	19	Establishes definition for “intensity.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(23), F.S. [New]</b>	20	Establishes definition for “internal trip capture.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(28), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	21	Establishes definition for “level of service.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(32), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	23	Establishes definition for “new town.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(33), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	24	Establishes definition for “objective.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(36), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	26	Establishes definition for “policy.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Chapter 163, F.S. Citations</b>	<b>Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.</b>		<b>N/A*</b>	<b>Addressed<sup>1</sup> (where/how)</b>	<b>Amendment Needed<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>Section 163.3164(38), F.S.</b>	27	Deletes health systems and spoil disposal sites for maintenance dredging located in intracoastal waterways (except sites owned by ports) from the definition of “public facilities.”		Procedural	Add definition of public facilities in new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(40), F.S.</b>	28	Changes definition of “regional planning agency” to “the council created pursuant to chapter 186.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(41), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	29	Establishes definition for “seasonal population.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(42), F.S.</b>	30	Changes definition of “optional sector plan” to “sector plan” and clarifies the purpose of a sector plan. The term includes an optional sector plan that was adopted before the effective date of the act.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3164(45), F.S. [previously in Rule 9J-5]</b>	31	Establishes definition for “suitability.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(46), F.S. [New]</b>	32	Establishes definition for “transit-oriented development.”		Procedural	New Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(50), F.S.</b>	33	Clarifies the definition of “urban service area” to delete the term “built-up” and to include any areas identified in the comprehensive plan as urban service areas, regardless of local government limitation.		Procedural	New Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3164(51), F.S. [replaces definition previously in 9J-5]</b>	34	Establishes new definition for “urban sprawl.”		Procedural	Add definition to new Glossary in Comp Plan update.
<b>Section 163.3167(2), F.S.</b>	35	Modifies requirements for maintaining comprehensive plan, deleting the reference to Section 163.3184 and the requirement that proposed plan amendments be submitted to the state land planning agency.	N/A	Procedural; Sec. 163.3184(4) provides that Plan Amendments must be submitted and processed under State Coordinated Review Process.	.
<b>Section 163.3167(3) and (6), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	36	Deletes provisions for regional planning agency adoption of plan amendments for elements and amendments not prepared by a local government.		Procedural	Revisit Intergovernmental Coordination Element on these issues.
<b>Section 163.3167(7), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	37	Deletes provisions for local government challenge of costs associated with preparing a comprehensive plan and related state land planning agency action.		Procedural	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.3168(1) – (4), F.S. [New]</b>	39	Establishes provisions for “planning innovations and technical assistance” and clarifies the roles of the state land planning agency and all other appropriate state and regional agencies in the process. Requires, upon request by the local government, the state land planning agency to coordinate multi-agency assistance on plan amendments that may adversely impact important state resources or facilities. Requires the state land planning agency to provide on its website guidance on the submittal and adoption of comprehensive plans, amendments and land development regulations, prohibiting such guidance from being adopted by rule and exempting such guidance from s. 120.54(1)(a).		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3171(4), F.S.</b>	40	Modifies areas of authority under this act with respect to joint agreements and intergovernmental coordination between cities and counties and planning in advance of jurisdictional changes.		Procedural	Revisit Intergovernmental Coordination Element related to these issues.
<b>Section 163.3175(5)(d) and (6), F.S.</b>	41	Modifies military base compatibility provisions to not require that commanding officer comments, underlying studies and reports be binding on the local government. Requires the affected local government to be sensitive to private property rights and not be unduly restrictive on those rights in considering the comments provided by the commanding officer or designee.		Goal 108	.
<b>Section 163.3175(9), F.S.</b>	42	Modified to require that any local government comprehensive plan that has been amended to address military compatibility requirements after 2004 and was found in compliance be deemed in compliance until the local government conducts its evaluation and appraisal review pursuant to s.163.3191 and determines that amendments are necessary.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3177(1), F.S.</b>	43	Modified to include significant portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.001 and 9J-5.005, F.A.C., with respect to the principles, guidelines, standards and strategies to be set forth in required and optional elements of the comprehensive plan and requirements for basing these elements on relevant, appropriate and professionally accepted data.		Procedural	Potentially revise Technical Support document or Plan language addressing previous standards ‘best available data’.
<b>Section 163.3177(3)(a)4, F.S.</b>	45	Modifies provisions for preparing the capital improvements element to require the schedule to cover a 5-year period and identify whether projects are either funded or unfunded and given a level of priority for funding. Deletes requirements for financial feasibility.		CIE 1401.1.2 Five Year Schedule of Capital Improvements Table	<b>CIE to reflect if components in the schedule are funded or not. (Annual Requirement)</b>

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.3177(3)(b), F.S.</b>	46	Modifies requirements for local government annual review of capital improvements element to no longer require transmittal of the adopted amendment to the state land planning agency and deletes provisions related to sanctions by the Administration Commission, adoption of long-term concurrency management systems and financial feasibility.		CIE 1401.1.2	
<b>Section 163.3177(5)(a), F.S.</b>	47	Modifies planning period requirements, allowing additional planning periods for specific components, elements, land use amendments, or projects as part of the planning process.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a), F.S.</b>	48	Modifies requirements for the future land use element to include guidance from repealed Rule 9J-5.006, F.A.C., relative to general range of density or intensity of uses for gross land area and establishing a long term end toward which land use programs and activities are ultimately directed.		FLUE Technical Support Document reflects use of gross land area for densities and intensities	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)2 and 3, F.S.</b>	49	Modifies the standards on which future land use plan and plan amendments are based to include: permanent and seasonal population, compatibility, the need to modify land uses and development patterns within antiquated subdivisions, preservation of waterfronts, location of schools proximate to urban residential areas, and other considerations taken from repealed Rule 9J-5.006, F.A.C.		FLUE Technical Support Document	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)4, F.S.</b>	50	Modifies requirements for the future land use element “to accommodate at least the minimum amount of land required to accommodate the medium projections of the University of Florida’s Bureau of Economic and Business Research for at least a 10-year planning period unless otherwise limited.”		FLUE Technical Support Document addresses revisions.	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)8, F.S. [New]</b>	51	Establishes requirements for analyzing future land use map amendments using portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.006, F.A.C.		FLUE Goals 101, 102,103, & 104	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)9 and 10, F.S. [New]</b>	52	Establishes requirements for the future land use element and map series, including with slight revisions the primary indicators that a plan or plan amendment does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl that were in repealed Rule 9J-5.006, F.A.C.		Policy 101.5.24	.
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(b), F.S.</b>	53	Modifies requirements for the transportation element to include significant portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.019, F.A.C., addressing circulation of recreational traffic, including bicycle facilities, exercise trails, riding facilities and airport master plans.		Traffic Goal 301 & Mass Transit Goal 401	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(c), F.S.</b>	54	Modifies requirements for the general sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, and natural groundwater aquifer recharge element to include guidance from portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.011, F.A.C., and deletes requirements for including a topographic map depicting any areas adopted by a water management district as prime groundwater recharge areas and addressing areas served by septic tanks.		Sanitary Sewer Goal 901; Solid Waste Goal 801; Potable Water Goal 701; Drainage Goal 1001; Natural Groundwater/ Aquifer Recharge Goal 1101.	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(d)1 and 2, F.S. [New]</b>	56	Modifies requirements for the conservation element to include portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.013, F.A.C., to list the natural resources to be identified, analyzed and protected and toward which conservation principles, guidelines and standards are to be directed.		CCME Goals 201-218.	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(d)3, F.S.</b>	57	Modifies requirements for analyzing current and projected water sources for a 10-year period to include consideration of demands for industrial, agricultural and potable water use and the quality and quantity of water available to meet these demands and the existing levels of conservation, use and protection and policies of the regional water management district.		Potable Water Objective 701.3 and CCME Goal 211	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(f)1 and 2, F.S.</b>	58	Clarifies requirements for the housing element to include guidelines, standards and strategies based on an inventory taken from the latest decennial United States Census or more recent estimates and various other considerations listed in repealed Rule 9J-5.010, F.A.C.			Review Housing Element and consider if policy amendment is needed.
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(f)3, F.S. [New]</b>	60	Based on repealed Rule 9J-5.010, F.A.C., sets forth new requirements for the creation and preservation of affordable housing, elimination of substandard housing conditions, providing for adequate sites and distribution for a range of incomes and types and including programs for partnering, streamlined permitting, quality of housing, neighborhood stabilization and improving historically significant housing.		Housing Goal 601.	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(g), F.S.</b>	61	Modifies the objectives of the coastal management element and includes a new requirement for preserving historic and archaeological resources.		CCME Goal 214	Revise Conservation and Coastal Management Element to include new provision relative to preserving historic and archaeological resources.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(g)10, F.S. [New]</b>	63	Sets forth an <b>option</b> for the local government to develop an adaptation action area designation for low-lying coastal zones experiencing coastal flooding due to extreme high tides and storm surge and are vulnerable to the impacts of rising sea level.			<b>Not a requirement – optional.</b> Creating Energy and Climate Element; add definition of adaptation action areas to new Glossary in Comp Plan update if County desires establishing same.
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(h)3.a and b, F.S. [New]</b>	65	Modifies requirements for the intergovernmental coordination element to include portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.015, F.A.C, including coordinating and addressing impacts on adjacent municipalities and coordinating the establishment of level of service standards.		ICE Goals 1301, 1302 & 1303.	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(h)3 and 4, F.S. [Deleted]</b>	66	Deletes requirements in intergovernmental coordination element for fostering coordination between special districts and local general purpose governments, submittal of public facilities report, execution of interlocal agreement with district school board, the county and nonexempt municipalities, and submittal of reports to the Florida Department of Community Affairs by counties with populations greater than 100,000.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(i), (j), (k), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	67	Deletes provisions for optional elements of the comprehensive plan, transportation and traffic circulation, airport compatibility and other requirements related to transportation corridors and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions specific to local governments within an urbanized area.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3177(15)(a), F.S. Now: Section 163.3177(7)(a), F.S.</b>	71	<b>See Chapter 2011-139, Laws of Florida.</b>			
<b>Section 163.3177(7)(c)2, F.S.</b>	72	Modifies provisions for processing plan amendments for land located within a rural agricultural industrial center to presume that these amendments are not urban sprawl as defined in s. 163.3164 and shall be considered within 90 days after any review required by the state land planning agency if required by s. 163.3184.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

Chapter 163, F.S. Citations	Changes to Chapter 163, F.S.		N/A*	Addressed <sup>1</sup> (where/how)	Amendment Needed <sup>2</sup>
<b>Section 163.31777(1)(b)-(d) and (2), F.S.</b>	73	Deletes requirements for public schools interlocal agreements with respect to submittal of the agreements to the state land planning agency based on an established schedule and other requirements involving the state land planning agency related to waivers and exemptions.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.31777(3)(a)-(c) and (4)-(7), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	74	Deletes requirements related to the submittal of comments from the Office of Educational Facilities on the interlocal agreement, challenges to the state land planning agency notice of intent and other review process requirements.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3178(9)(a), F.S.</b>		Reference to 9J Rules removed.		Procedural	Delete citations throughout new Comp Plan.
<b>Section 163.3180(1), F.S.</b>	75	Deletes parks and recreation, schools and transportation from the list of public facilities and services subject to the concurrency requirement on a statewide basis.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180 (1)(a) and (b), F.S. [New]</b>	76	Modifies concurrency requirements to include portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.0055, F.A.C., which relate to achieving and maintaining adopted levels of service for a 5-year period, and providing for rescission of any optional concurrency provisions by plan amendment, which is not subject to state review.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(1)(b), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	77	Deletes requirement that professionally accepted techniques be used for measuring levels of service for automobiles, bicycles, pedestrians, transit and trucks.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(2)(b) and (c), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	78	Deletes requirement that parks and recreation facilities to serve new development are in place or under actual construction no later than one year after issuance of a certificate of occupancy or its functional equivalent.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(3), F.S.</b>	79	Deletes provisions addressing governmental entities and establishment of binding level of service standards with respect to limiting the authority of any agency to recommend or make objections, recommendations, comments or determinations during reviews conducted under s .163.3184		Procedural	Reflect in new Comp Plan if necessary, for instance in ICE. .
<b>Section 163.3180(4)(b) and (c), F.S. [Deleted]</b>	80	Deletes concurrency provisions specifically related to public transit facilities and urban infill and redevelopment areas.		Mass Transit	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3180(5)(a)-(h), F.S. [New – replaces old 163.3180(5)(a)-(g), F.S.]</b>	81	Establishes concurrency provisions for transportation facilities, which include portions of repealed Rule 9J-5.0055, F.A.C. Sets forth requirements with respect to adopted level of service standards, including use of professionally accepted studies to evaluate levels of service, achieving and maintaining adopted levels of service standards, and including the projects needed to accomplish this in 5-year schedule of capital improvements. Requires coordination with adjacent local governments and setting forth the method to be used in calculating proportionate-share contribution. Defines the term “transportation deficiency.”		Traffic Circulation Mass Transit	County is maintaining traffic concurrency, as needed, amend Traffic Circulation Element to address new requirements. Add “transportation deficiency” to new Glossary.
<b>Section 163.3180(6)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	83	Sets forth concurrency provisions for public education, setting forth provisions for those local governments that apply concurrency to public education. If a county and one or more municipalities that represent at least 80 percent of the total countywide population have adopted school concurrency, the failure of one or more municipalities to adopt the concurrency and enter into the interlocal agreement does not preclude implementation of school concurrency within jurisdictions of the school district that have opted to implement concurrency.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(6)(f)1 and 2, F.S.</b>	84	Modifies school concurrency provisions to clarify that adoption and application of school concurrency is optional.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(d), F.S. Now: 163.3180(g), F.S.</b>	85	Modifies school concurrency provisions to remove requirement for financial feasibility and to require that facilities necessary to meet adopted levels of service during a 5-year period are identified and consistent with the school board’s educational facilities plan.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(h)1.a, b and c, F.S. [New]</b>	86	Modifies school concurrency provisions to allow a landowner to proceed with development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency if certain factors are shown to exist, including adequate facilities are provided for in the capital improvements element and school board’s educational facilities plan, demonstration that facilities needs can be reasonably provided, and the local government and school board have provided a means by which proportionate share is assessed.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3182, F.S. [Revised]</b>	88	Changes “transportation concurrency backlogs” to “transportation deficiencies” and makes related clarifications.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3182(2), F.S. [Revised]</b>	89	Changes “creation of transportation concurrency backlog authorities” to “creation of transportation development authorities” and makes related clarifications.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3182(3), F.S. [Revised]</b>	90	Changes “powers of a transportation concurrency backlog authority” to “powers of a transportation development authority” and makes related clarifications.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3182(4), F.S. [Revised]</b>		Changes “transportation concurrency backlog plans” to “transportation sufficiency plans”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(1)(b), F.S. [Revised]</b>	91	Modifies the definition of “in compliance” to include a reference to s. 163.3248 and delete the reference to now repealed chapter 9J-5, F.A.C.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3184(1)(c), F.S. [New]</b>	92	Provides a list of the “reviewing agencies.”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3184(2), F.S. [New]</b>	93	Sets forth the “expedited” and “coordinated” review processes.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3184(3) and (4), F.S. [New]</b>	94	Sets forth requirements for adopting and processing plan amendments according to the “expedited” and “coordinated” review processes, the scope of the comments to be provided by review agencies, responsibilities of the state land planning agency with respect to its various levels of review and coordination with other state agencies and public hearings.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(5)-(7), F.S. [New]</b>	95	Sets forth requirements for administrative challenges to plans and plan amendments, compliance agreements and mediation and expeditious resolution.		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3184(11), F.S. Now: 163.3184(8), F.S.</b>	96	Modifies provisions to enable the administration commission to specify sanctions to which the local government will be subject if it elects to make a plan amendment effective notwithstanding a determination of noncompliance.		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3184(15), F.S. Now: 163.3184(11), F.S.</b>	97	Modifies provisions for public hearings to state there is no prohibition or limitation on the authority of local governments to require a person requesting an amendment to pay some or all of the cost of the public notice.		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3184(12), F.S. [New]</b>	98	Establishes provisions for concurrent zoning, requiring a local government, at the request of an applicant, to consider an application for zoning changes that would be required to properly enact any proposed plan amendment and making the approved zoning changes contingent upon the comprehensive plan or amendment becoming effective.		Procedural County has the option to implement this process.	
<b>Section 163.3184(13), F.S. [New]</b>	99	Revises provisions to require that no proposed local government comprehensive plan or plan amendment that is applicable to a designated area of critical state concern shall be effective until a final order is issued finding the plan or amendment to be in compliance as defined in paragraph (1)(b).		Procedural	

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3187(1)(a)-(f), F.S. [revised] Now: 163.3187(1)(a)-(d), F.S.</b>	100	Modifies provisions to address the process for adoption of small-scale comprehensive plan amendments, deleting several exceptions. Plan amendments are no longer limited to two times per calendar year and text changes that relate directly to and are adopted simultaneously with small scale FLUM amendments are permissible.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3187(1)2.a&amp;b;3,4 and (e)-(q), F.S. Now: 163.3187(2)-(5), F.S.</b>	101	Modifies the public notice requirements for small scale plan amendments, addressing petitions, prohibiting the state land planning agency from intervening and requiring that consideration be given to the plan amendment as a whole and whether it furthers the intent of this part in all challenges.		Procedural and not a compliance issue	
<b>Section 163.3191(1)-(14), F.S. [revised] Now: Section 163.3191(1)-(5), F.S.</b>	103	Modifies provisions for evaluation and appraisal of comprehensive plan. Maintains the requirement for local government evaluation of plan to occur at least once every 7 years. The local government is required to determine if amendments are necessary to reflect changes in state requirements (only) since the last update and to notify the state land planning agency by letter as to its determination. If needed, these amendments are to be prepared and transmitted within 1 year of this determination for review pursuant to 163.3184 (i.e., State Coordinated Review). Local governments are encouraged to comprehensively evaluate and as necessary update plans to reflect changes in local conditions. If a local government fails to submit its notification letter to the state land planning agency or fails to update its plan to reflect changes in state requirements, then the local government is prohibited from amending its plan until it complies with these requirements. The state land planning agency may not adopt rules to implement this section, other than procedural rules or a schedule indicating when local governments must comply with these requirements.		Procedural	Updating Monitoring Evaluation Procedures to reflect change in EAR process.
<b>Section 163.3217(2), F.S.</b>	104	Deletes the reference to s. 163.3187(1) and provisions regarding the frequency of adoption of plan amendments as they relate to adoption of a municipal overlay.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3220(3), F.S.</b>	105	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act.”		Procedural and not a compliance issue	Rename where appropriate throughout new Comp Plan.
<b>Section 163.3221(2) &amp; (11), F.S.</b>	106	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act.”		Procedural and not a compliance issue	Rename where appropriate throughout new Comp Plan.

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3229, F.S.</b>	107	Revises the duration of a development agreement from 20 years to 30 years, unless it is extended by mutual consent, and deletes reference to s. 163.3187 and Section 163.3189, F.S. regarding compliance determination by state land planning agency.		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3235, F.S.</b>	108	Modifies provisions for periodic review of a development agreement to delete requirements for annual review conducted during years 6 through 10, incorporation of the review into a written report and the state land planning agency adoption of rules regarding the contents of the report.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3239, F.S.</b>	109	Deletes requirements that a copy of the recorded development agreement be submitted to the state land planning agency within 14 days after the agreement is recorded and for the effectiveness of the agreement based on receipt by the state land planning agency.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3245(1), F.S.</b>	110	Changes “Optional Sector Plans” to “ <b>Sector Plans</b> ” and clarifies the intent to promote and encourage long-term planning for conservation, development and agriculture on a landscape scale and protection of regionally significant resources, including regionally significant water courses and wildlife corridors. Revises the amount of geographic area intended for sector plans from at least 5,000 acres to at least 15,000 acres and protection of public facilities.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(2), F.S.</b>	111	Deletes provisions for the state land planning agency entering into an agreement to authorize preparation of an optional sector plan, and consideration of the state comprehensive and strategic regional policy plans, and clarifies the process for scoping meetings and joint planning agreements.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(3), F.S.</b>	112	Modifies the provisions for two levels of sector planning, clarifying the requirements for the long term master plan and detailed specific area plan. These plans may be based upon a planning period longer than timeframe on which the local comprehensive plan is based and are not required to demonstrate need. The state land planning agency is required to consult with certain other agencies as part of its review of the plans.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(4), F.S. [New]</b>	113	Requires consistency with any long-range transportation plan and regional water supply plans, including consideration of water supply availability and consumptive use permitting.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(5)(d), F.S. [New]</b>	114	Requires the detailed specific area plan to establish a buildout date until which the approved development is not subject to downzoning, unit density reduction or intensity reduction, with certain exceptions.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3245(6), F.S. [New]</b>	115	Establishes provisions for master development approval, pursuant to s. 380.06(21), for the entire planning area in order to establish a buildout date and describes the level of detail appropriate for review of the application.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(7), F.S. [New]</b>	116	Establishes provisions for a developer within an area subject to a long-term master plan or detailed specific area plan to enter into a development agreement.	N/A	Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3245(8), F.S. [New]</b>	117	Establishes provisions for landowner withdrawal of consent to the master plan relative to proposed and adopted amendments.	N/A	Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3245(9), F.S. [New]</b>	118	Allows the right to continue, after adoption of a long-term master plan or a detailed specific area plan, existing agricultural or silvicultural uses or other natural resource-based operations or establishment of similar new uses that are consistent with plans approved pursuant to this section.	N/A	Procedural and not a compliance issue.	
<b>Section 163.3245(10), F.S. [New]</b>	119	Allows the state land planning agency to enter into an agreement with a local government that on or before July 1, 2011 adopted a large-area comprehensive plan amendment consisting of at least 15,000 acres based on certain requirements.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(11), F.S. [New]</b>	120	Addresses a detailed specific area plan to implement a conceptual long-term buildout overlay found in compliance before July 1, 2011.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(12), F.S. [New]</b>	121	Provides for a landowner or developer that has received approval of a master DRI development order to implement this order by filing application(s) to approve the detailed specific area plan.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3246(9)(a), F.S.</b>	122	Modifies provisions in the local government comprehensive planning certification program to allow small scale development amendments to follow the process in s. 163.3187.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3247, F.S.</b>	123	Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida is abolished as of June 30, 2013.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248, F.S. [New]</b>	124	Establishes provisions for <b>Rural Land Stewardship Areas</b> , which were provided for as part of the innovative and flexible planning and development strategies in now repealed s. 163.3177(11).	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(1), F.S. [New]</b>	125	Sets forth the intent of Rural Land Stewardship Areas	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3248(2), F.S. [New]</b>	126	Establishes a process upon which local governments may adopt a future land use overlay, which may not require a demonstration of need based on population projections or any other factors.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(3), F.S. [New]</b>	127	Sets forth six broad principles of rural sustainability that rural land stewardship areas are to further.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(4), F.S. [New]</b>	128	Provides for agency assistance and participation to local governments or property owners in development of a plan for rural land stewardship area.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(5), F.S. [New]</b>	129	Requires that a rural land stewardship area not be less than 10,000 acres, is located outside of municipalities and established urban service areas and is designated by plan amendment by each local government with jurisdiction.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(5)(a)-(d), F.S. [New]</b>	130	Requires the plan amendment(s) designating a rural land stewardship area to be reviewed pursuant to s. 163.3184 and to meet certain requirements involving criteria for designating receiving areas, the application of innovative planning and development strategies, a process for implementing these strategies and a mix of densities and intensities that would not be characterized as urban sprawl.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(6), F.S. [New]</b>	131	Requires a receiving area to be designated only pursuant to procedures established in the local government's land development regulations. If approval of the designation by a county board of county commissioners is required, it is to be made by resolution with a simple majority vote. A listed species survey must be performed and coordinated with appropriate agencies if listed species occur on the receiving area development site. Protective measures must be based on the rural land stewardship area as a whole.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(7), F.S. [New]</b>	132	Sets forth requirements for establishing a rural land stewardship overlay zoning district and methodology for the creation, conveyance, and use of transferrable rural land use/stewardship credits.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(8)(a)-(k), F.S. [New]</b>	133	Sets forth limitations for creating, assigning and transferring stewardship credits based on underlying permitted uses, densities and intensities, and considerations for assigning credits based on the value and location of land and environmental resources.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term "Procedural" as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3248(9)(a)-(e), F.S. [New]</b>	134	Provides for incentives to owners of land within rural land stewardship sending areas, in addition to use or conveyance of credits, to enter into rural land stewardship agreements.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(10), F.S. [New]</b>	135	Expresses the intent of the section as an overlay of land use options that provide economic and regulatory incentives for landowners outside of established and planned urban service areas.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3248(11), F.S. [New]</b>	136	Expresses the intent of the Legislature that the rural land stewardship area in Collier County be recognized as a statutory rural land stewardship area and be afforded the incentives in this section.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.360(2)(a), F.S.</b>	137	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act.”		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	Rename where appropriate throughout new Comp Plan.
<b>Section 163.516(3)(a), F.S.</b>	138	Changes “Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act” to “Community Planning Act.”		Procedural and not a compliance issue.	Rename where appropriate throughout new Comp Plan.
<b>2012 [Chapters 2012-5, 2012-75, 2012-83, 2012-90, 2012-96 and 2012-99 Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.3162(2)(a), F.S.</b>	1	Rewords the definition of “farm” to the same meaning provided in s. 823.14		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3162(2)(b), F.S.</b>	2	Rewords the definition of farm operation to the same meaning provided in s. 823.14		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3162(2)(d), F.S.</b>	3	Adds a definition of “governmental entity,” which has the same meaning provided in s.164.1031. The term does not include a water control district or a special district created to manage water.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3162(3)(b), F.S.</b>	4	Changes “county” to “governmental entity”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3162(3)(c), F.S.</b>	5	Changes “county” to “governmental entity”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3162(3)(c)3, F.S.</b>	6	Changes “county” to “governmental entity”	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3162(3)(c)3.(i), F.S.</b>	7	Changes “county” to “governmental entity”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3162, F.S. Note</b>	8	Adds provisions related to agricultural enclaves	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3167(8), F.S.</b>	9	Provides that any local government charter provision that was in effect as of June 1, 2011 for an initiative or referendum process for development orders or comprehensive plan amendments may be retained and implemented	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3174(4)(b), F.S.</b>	10	Changes the “preparation of the periodic reports” to “the periodic evaluation and appraisal of the comprehensive plan”		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3175(5), F.S.</b>	11	Adds “advisory” to define the commanding officer’s comments on the impact of proposed changes on military bases, and requires the comments to be based on appropriate data and analysis which must be provided to the local government with the comments.		Goal 108	
<b>Section 163.3175(5)(d), F.S.</b>	12	Requires local governments to consider the commanding officer’s comments in the same manner as comments from other reviewing agencies, and deletes the language that states the comments are not binding.		Goal 108	
<b>Section 163.3175(6), F.S.</b>	13	Adds language requiring the local government to consider the accompanying data and analysis provided by the commanding officer, in addition to the comments, and adds language stating that consideration shall be based on how the change relates to the strategic mission of the base, public safety and the economic vitality of the base while respecting private property rights		Goal 108	
<b>Section 163.3177(1)(f)3, F.S.</b>	14	Changes the “University of Florida’s Bureau of Economic and Business Research” to the “Office of Economic and Demographic Research” and adds language stating that population projections must, at a minimum, reflect each area’s proportional share of the total county population and the total county population growth	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)4, F.S.</b>	15	Changes the “University of Florida’s Bureau of Economic and Business Research” to the “Office of Economic and Demographic Research”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(a)8.c, F.S.</b>	16	Changes the requirement that future land use map amendments be based on an analysis of the minimum amount of land needed as determined by the local government, to instead be based on an analysis of the minimum amount of land needed to achieve the requirements of the statute		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3177(6)(f)2, F.S.</b>	17	Deletes the requirement that the housing element be based in part on an inventory taken from the latest Census	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.31777(3), F.S.</b>	18	Moves the exemptions from having a public school interlocal agreement from s. 163.3180(6)(i) to s.163.31777(3)	N/a		
<b>Section 163.31777(4), F.S.</b>	19	Adds language requiring each local government exempt from having a public school interlocal agreement to assess at the time of evaluation and appraisal if the local government still meets the requirements for exemptions described in s. 163.31777(3). Each local government that is exempt must comply with the interlocal agreement provisions within one year of a new school within the municipality being proposed in the 5-year district facilities work program	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3178(3), F.S.</b>	20	Replaces “Department of Community Affairs” with “state land planning agency” and changes the language that stated intermodal transportation facilities “shall” not be designated as developments of regional impact to “may” not be designated as developments of regional impact..	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3178(6), F.S.</b>	21	Deletes the provision that the Coastal Resources Interagency Management Committee shall identify incentives to encourage local governments to adopt siting plans and uniform criteria and standards to be used by local governments to implement state goals related to marina siting.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(1)(a), F.S.</b>	22	Adds language stating that an amendment that rescinds concurrency shall be processed under the expedited state review process, and is not required to be transmitted to reviewing agencies for comment, except for agencies that have requested transmittal, and for municipal amendments, it must be transmitted to the county. A copy of the adopted amendment shall be transmitted to the state land agency. If the amendment rescinds transportation or school concurrency, the adopted amendment must also be sent to the Department of Transportation or Department of Education, respectively.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(6)(a), F.S.</b>	23	Provides general rewording. Adds language to clarify that the choice of one or more municipality to not adopt school concurrency does not preclude implementation of school concurrency within other jurisdictions of the school district.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(6)(i)n, F.S.</b>	24	Moved to s. 163.31777(3)	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(2)(c), F.S.</b>	25	Adds developments that are proposed under s. 380.06(24)(x) to the list of amendments that must follow the state coordinated review process.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(3)(b)1, F.S.</b>	26	Added the word “working” to clarify the number of days a local government has to transmit an amendment.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(3)(b)2, F.S.</b>	27	Changed the time limit for the reviewing agencies’ transmittal to 30 days “after” instead of “from” the date the amendment was received	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3184(3)(c)2, F.S.</b>	28	Added the word “working” to clarify the number of days a local government has to transmit an amendment.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(4)(b), F.S.</b>	29	Changes the time limit a local government has to transmit an amendment from “immediately following” the first public hearing to “within 10 working days after” the first public hearing.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(4)(e)2, F.S.</b>	30	Added the word “working” to clarify the number of days a local government has to transmit an amendment	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(5)(b), F.S.</b>	31	Corrects the citation related to plan amendment package completeness from (3)(c)3. To (4)(e)3.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(5)(d), F.S.</b>	32	Changes the time limit by which the Administration Commission must enter into a final order from 45 days after the receipt of the recommended order to the time period specified in s. 120.569.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(5)(e)1, F.S.</b>	33	Changes the time limit for the state land planning agency to submit a not in compliance recommended order to the Administration Commission from no later than 30 days after the receipt of the recommended order to the time period provided in s. 120.569	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(5)(e)2, F.S.</b>	34	Changes the time limit by which the state land planning agency must enter into an in compliance final order from 30 days after the receipt of the recommended order to the time period provided in s. 120.569.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(6)(f), F.S.</b>	35	Changes the time period by which the state land planning agency must issue a cumulative notice of intent from “upon receipt of a plan or plan amendment adopted pursuant to a compliance agreement” to “within 20 days after receiving a complete plan or plan amendment adopted pursuant to a compliance agreement”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(8)(b)1.a., F.S.</b>	36	Changes the statutory reference for the Florida Small Cities Community Development Block Grant program	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3184(12)</b>	37	Changes “subsection” to “section”.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3191(3). F.S.</b>	38	Changes “in accordance with” to “pursuant to” and adds (4) to the 163.3184 citation.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3204, F.S.</b>	39	Replaces “Department of Community Affairs” with “state land planning agency” and “this” Act to “the Community Planning Act”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3213(6), F.S.</b>	40	Changes the citation that refers to the sanctions that can be the sole issue before the Administration Commission when land development regulations are inconsistent with the comprehensive plan from s. 163.3184(11)(a) or (b) to s. 163.3184(8)(a) or (b)1. or 2.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3221(14), F.S.</b>	41	Changes the definition of state land planning agency to refer to the Department of Economic Opportunity instead of the Department of Community Affairs.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3245(1), F.S.</b>	42	Deletes the reference to s. 163.3177(11).	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(7), F.S.</b>	43	Deletes the requirement that the department provide an annual status report to the legislature regarding every optional sector plan.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3245(9)</b>	44	Adds “or her” to “his consent to the master plan”.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3246(1), F.S.</b>	45	Replaces “Department of Community Affairs” with “state land planning agency”.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3247(5)(a), F.S.</b>	46	Replaces “Secretary of Community Affairs” with “executive director of the state land planning agency”.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3247(5)(b), F.S.</b>	47	Replaces “Department of Community Affairs” with “state land planning agency”	N/A		
<b>2013 [Chapters 2013-15, 2013-78, 2013-115, 2013-213, 2013-224 and 2013-239, Laws of Florida]</b>					
<b>Section 163.2136(3)(c)-(k). F.S. [re-numbered]</b>	1	Re-numbers section 163.3162(3)(b)-(i) as 163.3162(3)(c)-(k) in order to accommodate new section 163.3162(3)(b) – see item 4 below.	N/A		
<b>Section 3162(2)(d), F.S.</b>	2	Amends the definition of “governmental entity” in the provisions for agricultural lands and practices, clarifying that in addition to not including a water control district established under chapter 298 or a special district created by special act for water management purposes, the term does not include a water management district.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3162(3)(a), F.S.</b>	3	Replaces “county” with “governmental entity.”	N/A		
<b>Section, 163.3162(3)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	4	Prohibits a governmental entity from charging a fee on a specific agricultural activity of a bona fide farm operation on land classified as agricultural land pursuant to s. 193.461, if such agricultural activity is regulated through implemented best management practices, interim measures, or regulations adopted as rules under chapter 120 by the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, or a water management district as part of a statewide or regional program; or if such agricultural activity is expressly regulated by the United States Department of Agriculture, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, or the United States Environmental Protection Agency.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3167(8)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	5	Clarifies the provisions for growth management that an initiative or referendum process in regard to any development order is prohibited. Removes language that allowed an initiative or referendum process by a local government charter in effect as of June 1, 2011 to be retained and implemented	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3167(8)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	6	Clarifies that an initiative or referendum process in regard to any local comprehensive plan amendment or map amendment is prohibited, except for those amendments that affect more than five parcels of land if it is expressly authorized by specific language in a local government charter that was lawful and in effect on June 1, 2011. A general local government charter provision for an initiative or referendum process is not sufficient	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3167(8)(c), F.S. [New]</b>	7	States the intent of the Legislature is to prohibit any initiative and referendum in regard to any development order, and prohibit any initiative and referendum in regard to any local comprehensive plan or map amendment except as specifically and narrowly permitted in paragraph (b). States these prohibitions are remedial in nature and apply retroactively to any initiative or referendum process commenced after June 1, 2011, clarifying that any such initiative or referendum process that has been commenced or completed thereafter is null and void and of no legal force and effect.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(h)1, F.S. [New]</b>	8	Revises and adds requirements for local governments that continue to implement a transportation concurrency system, whether in the form adopted into the comprehensive plan before the effective date of the Community Planning Act, chapter 2011-139, Laws of Florida, or as subsequently modified.		Procedural and in the Land Development Code	Potential updates to CIE and Transportation Elements
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(h)1.c, F.S. [New]</b>	9	Adds “development agreement” in the listed land use development permits for which an applicant may satisfy transportation concurrency requirements of the local comprehensive plan, the local government’s concurrency management system and s. 380.06 when applicable, if conditions in subsequent sections are met.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(h)1.c.II, F.S. [New]</b>	10	Adds language allowing a local government to accept contributions from multiple applicants for a planned improvement if it maintains contributions in a separate account designated for that purpose.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(h)1.d, F.S. [New]</b>	11	Modifies language to require local governments that continue to implement a transportation concurrency system to “provide the basis upon which the landowners will be assessed a proportionate share of the cost addressing the transportation impacts resulting from a proposed development.		Procedural	
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(h)3, F.S. [New]</b>	12	Clarifies that a local government is not required to approve a development that, for reasons other than transportation impacts, is not qualified for approval pursuant to the applicable local comprehensive plan and land development regulations.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3180(5)(i), F.S. [New]</b>	13	Sets forth new provisions for any local government that elects to repeal transportation concurrency. Encourages adoption of alternative mobility funding system that uses one or more of the tools and techniques identified in paragraph (f). Clarifies that any alternative mobility funding system adopted may not be used to deny, time or phase an application for site plan approval, plat approval, final	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

		subdivision approval, building permits, or the functional equivalent of such approvals provided that the developer agrees to pay for the development’s identified transportation impacts via the funding mechanism implemented by the local government. States that the revenue from the funding mechanism used in the alternative system must be used to implement the needs of the local government’s plan which serves as the basis for the fee imposed. Requires a mobility fee-based funding system to comply with the dual rational nexus test applicable to impact fees. An alternative system that is not mobility fee-based shall not be applied in a manner that imposes upon new development any responsibility for funding an existing transportation deficiency as defined in paragraph (h).			
<b>Section 163.3246(1),(4)-(7), (9)(a), (12) and (13), F.S.</b>	14	Changes numerous references in the provisions for the local government comprehensive planning certification program from “department” to “state land planning agency.”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.325, F.S. [New]</b>	15	Creates short title for ss. 163.325-163.3253 as the “Manufacturing Competitiveness Act.”	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3251(1)-(6), F.S. [New]</b>	16	Creates six definitions as used in the provisions for manufacturing development in ss. 163.3251-163.3253: (1) “Department” means Department of Economic Opportunity; (2) “Local government development approval” means a local land development permit, order, or other approval issued by a local government, or a modification of such permit, order, or approval, which is required for a manufacturer to physically locate or expand and includes, but is not limited to, the review and approval of a master development plan required under s. 163.3252(2)(c). (3) “Local manufacturing development program” means a program enacted by a local government for approval of master development plans under s. 163.3252. (4) “Manufacturer” means a business that is classified in Sectors 31-33 of the National American Industry Classification System (NAICS) and is located, or intends to locate, within the geographic boundaries of an area designated by a local government as provided under s. 163.3252. (5) “Participating agency” means: (a) The Department of Environmental Protection, (b) The Department of Transportation, (c) The Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, when acting pursuant to statutory authority granted by the Legislature and (d) Water management districts. (6) “State development approval” means a state or regional permit or other approval issued by a participating agency, or a modification of such permit or approval, which must be obtained before the development or expansion of a manufacturer’s site, and includes, but is not limited to, those specified in s.163.3253(1)	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3252, F.S. [New]</b>	17	Setting forth provisions for a local manufacturing development program and master development approval for manufacturers, allows a local government to adopt an ordinance establishing a local manufacturing development program through which the local government may grant master development approval for the development or expansion of sites that are, or are proposed to be, operated by manufacturers at specified locations within the local government's geographic boundaries	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(1)(a) and (b), F.S. [New]</b>	18	Requires a local government that elects to establish a local manufacturing development program to submit a copy of the ordinance establishing the program to DEO within 20 days after the ordinance is enacted. A local government ordinance adopted before the effective date of this act establishes a local manufacturing development program if it satisfies the minimum criteria established in subsection (3) and if the local government submits a copy of the ordinance to DEO on or before September 1, 2013.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2), F.S. [New]</b>	19	Requires DEO to develop by December 1, 2013 a model ordinance to guide local governments that intend to establish a local manufacturing development program. Requires the model ordinance, which need not be adopted by a local government to include the elements set forth in ss. 163.3252(2)(a)-(k).	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	20	Requires the model ordinance to include procedures for a manufacturer to apply for a master development plan and procedures for a local government to review and approve a master development plan.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	21	Requires the model ordinance to identify those areas within the local government's jurisdiction which are subject to the program.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(c)1-4 [New]</b>	22	Requires the model ordinance to include the minimum elements for a master development plan, including but not limited to: 1. A site map, 2. A list proposing the site's land uses, 3. The maximum square footage, floor area ratio, and building heights for future development on the site, specifying with particularity those features and facilities for which the local government will require the establishment of maximum dimensions and 4. Development conditions.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(d)1-11, F.S. [New]</b>	23	Requires the model ordinance to include a list of development impacts, if applicable to the proposed site, which the local government will require to be addressed in a master development plan, including but not limited to: 1.Drainage, 2.Wastewater, 3.Potable water, 4. Solid waste, 5. Onsite and offsite natural resources, 6. Preservation of historic and archeological resources, 7. Offsite infrastructure, 8. Public services, 9. Compatibility with adjacent offsite land uses, 10. Vehicular and pedestrian entrance to and exit from the site and 11. Offsite transportation impacts.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(e), F.S. [New]</b>	24	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision vesting any existing development rights authorized by the local government before the approval of a master development plan, if requested by the manufacturer.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term "Procedural" as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3252(2)(f), F.S. [New]</b>	25	Requires the model ordinance to include whether an expiration date is required for a master development plan and, if required, a provision stating that the expiration date may not be earlier than 10 years after the plan’s adoption.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(g)1 and 2, F.S. [New]</b>	26	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision limiting the circumstances that require an amendment to an approved master development plan to: 1. Enactment of state law or local ordinance addressing an immediate and direct threat to the public safety that requires an amendment to the master development order and 2. Any revision to the master development plan initiated by the manufacturer.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(h), F.S. [New]</b>	27	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision stating the scope of review for any amendment to a master development plan is limited to the amendment and does not subject any other provision of the approved master development plan to further review.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(i). F.S. [New]</b>	28	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision stating that, during the term of a master development plan, the local government may not require additional local development approvals for those development impacts listed in paragraph (d) that are addressed in the master development plan, other than approval of a building permit to ensure compliance with the state building code and any other applicable state-mandated life and safety code..	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(j), F.S. [New]</b>	29	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision stating that, before commencing construction or site development work, the manufacturer must submit a certification, signed by a licensed architect, engineer, or landscape architect, attesting that such work complies with the master development plan..	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(2)(k), F.S. [New]</b>	30	Requires the model ordinance to include a provision establishing the form that will be used by the local government to certify that a manufacturer is eligible to participate in the local manufacturing development program adopted by that jurisdiction.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(3)(a)-(d), F.S. [New]</b>	31	Requires a local manufacturing development program ordinance to as a minimum be consistent with subsection (2) and establish procedures for (a) Reviewing an application from a manufacturer for approval of a master development plan, (b) Approving a master development plan, which may include conditions that address development impacts anticipated during the life of the development, (c) Developing the site in a manner consistent with the master development plan without requiring additional local development approvals other than building permits and (d) Certifying that a manufacturer is eligible to participate in the local manufacturing development program.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3252(4)(a) and (b)1 and 2, F.S. [New]</b>	32	Prohibits a local government that establishes a local manufacturing development program from abolishing the program until it has been in effect for at least 24 months. Sets forth provisions for a local government’s repealing its local manufacturing development program ordinance, stating that 1. Any application for a master	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

		development plan which is submitted to the local government before the effective date of the repeal is vested and remains subject to the local manufacturing development program ordinance in effect when the application was submitted; and 2.The manufacturer that submitted the application is entitled to participate in the manufacturing development coordinated approval process established in s. 163.3253.			
<b>Section 163.3253, F.S. [New]</b>	33	Creates provisions for a coordinated manufacturing development approval process, requiring DEO to coordinate the manufacturing development approval process with participating agencies, as set forth in this section, for manufacturers that are developing or expanding in a local government that has a local manufacturing development program.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(1)(a)-(i), F.S. [New]</b>	34	Requires the approval process to include collaboration and coordination among, and simultaneous review by, the participating agencies of applications for: (a) Wetland or environmental resource permits, (b) Surface water management permits, (c) Stormwater permits, (d) Consumptive water use permits (e) Wastewater permits, (f) Air emission permits, (g) Permits relating to listed species, (h) Highway or roadway access permits and (i) Any other state development approval within the scope of a participating agency's authority.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(2)(a) and (b), F.S. [New]</b>	35	Requires a manufacturer to file its application for state development approval with DEO and each participating agency with proof that its development or expansion is located in a local government that has a local manufacturing development program. If a local government repeals its local manufacturing development program ordinance, a manufacturer developing or expanding in that jurisdiction remains entitled to participate in the process if the manufacturer submitted its application for a local government development approval before the effective date of repeal.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(3)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	36	Requires DEO to convene a meeting with one or more participating agencies if a manufacturer requests one at any time during the process and that the participating agencies attend. Allows DEO to participate as necessary to accomplish the purposes set forth in s. 20.60(4)(f), does not require the department to mediate between the participating agencies and the manufacturer.	N/A		
<b>Sectio163.3253(3)(b), F.S. [New]n</b>	37	Prohibits DEO from being a party to any proceeding initiated under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 that relates to approval or disapproval of an application for state development approval processed under this section.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(3)(c), F.S. [New]</b>	38	Prohibits DEO's participation in a coordinated manufacturing development approval process under this section from having any effect on its approval or disapproval of any application for economic development incentives sought under s. 288.061 or another incentive requiring DEO approval.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term "Procedural" as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3253(4)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	39	Requires if a participating agency determines an application is incomplete that the participating agency notifies the applicant and DEO in writing of the additional information necessary to complete the application. Requires, unless the deadline is waived in writing by the manufacturer, a participating agency to provide a request for additional information to the manufacturer and DEO within 20 days after the date the application is filed with the participating agency.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(4)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	40	If the participating agency does not request additional information within the 20-day period, the participating agency may not subsequently deny the application based on the manufacturer's failure to provide additional information.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(4)(c), F.S. [New]</b>	41	Within 10 days after the manufacturer's response to the request for additional information, a participating agency may make a second request for additional information for the sole purpose of obtaining clarification of the manufacturer's response.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(5)(a), F.S. [New]</b>	42	Requires, unless the deadline is waived in writing by the manufacturer, each participating agency to take final agency action on a state development approval within its authority within 60 days after a complete application is filed. The 60-day period is tolled by the initiation of a proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(5)(b), F.S. [New]</b>	43	Requires a participating agency to notify DEO if the agency intends to deny a manufacturer's application and, unless waived in writing by the manufacturer, the department shall timely convene an informal meeting to facilitate a resolution.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(5)(c), F.S. [New]</b>	44	Unless waived in writing by the manufacturer, if a participating agency does not approve or deny an application within the 60-day period, within the time allowed by a federally delegated permitting program, or, if a proceeding is initiated under ss. 120.569 and 120.57, within 45 days after a recommended order is submitted to the agency and the parties, the state development approval within the authority of the participating agency is deemed approved. A manufacturer seeking to claim approval by default under this subsection shall notify, in writing, the clerks of both the participating agency and DEO of that intent. A manufacturer may not take action based upon the default approval until such notice is received by both agency clerks.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(5)(d), F.S. [New]</b>	45	Allows the manufacturer at any time after a proceeding is initiated under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 to demand expeditious resolution by serving notice on an administrative law judge and all other parties to the proceeding. The administrative law judge is required to set the matter for final hearing no more than 30 days after receipt of such notice. After the final hearing is set, a continuance may not be granted without the written agreement of all parties.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term "Procedural" as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.

Monroe County, Florida – Assessment of Changes to Florida Statutes 2004-2013

<b>Section 163.3253(6), F.S. [New]</b>	46	Prohibits subsections (4) and (5) from applying to permit applications governed by federally delegated or approved permitting programs to the extent that subsections (4) and (5) impose timeframes or other requirements that are prohibited by or inconsistent with such federally delegated or approved permitting programs.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.3253(7), F.S. [New]</b>	47	Allows DEO to adopt rules to administer section 163.3253.	N/A		
<b>Section 163.340(2), F.S.</b>	48	Revises the definitions to replace a reference to s.165.031(5) in the definition of “public body” to s. 165.031(7).	N/A		
<b>Section Note to 163.3162, F.S. (2012 version of statute)</b>	49	Repeals section 4 of chapter 2012-75, Laws of Florida, which had established an alternate method for certain landowners to apply to DEO for an agricultural enclave designation. The right to apply for ag enclave designation under the alternate method expired on January 1, 2013.	N/A		

\*N/A = Not Applicable

<sup>3</sup> The term “Procedural” as noted throughout the matrix includes those items that are a) not a compliance issue for the County or b) potential change in LDC or other County processes or agreements; notations are included identifying same.